

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/698,016	Applicant(s) FRIETSCH, THOMAS	
	Examiner Thomas J. Dailey	Art Unit 2452	

All Participants:

(1) Thomas J. Dailey.

(2) Asok Mannava.

Date of Interview: 3 February 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 3:30 PM EST

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
103 rejections of claims 32 and 48

Claims discussed:
32 and 48

Prior art documents discussed:
Barnard and Sistanizadeh

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner explained that after appeal conference it was concluded that, pending updated search, claim 48 may be allowable, and claim 32 rejection was still upholdable. Further the examiner explained that in order for the case to proceed to allowance, pending an updated search, claim 48 should be rolled into claim 32 and other independent claims and certain language issues regarding claim 32 would need to be corrected. For example, the applicant's use of "authentic node" and "network typography" as currently recited. Applicant indicated they would prefer to see an action on the merits. A non-final rejection is attached herewith.